Dell's Expert Arguments Supporting "Widely Divergent" Appraisal Value

The lawyers for Dell Inc. have filed their brief of arguments presented at trial supporting an appraisal of "fair value" at \$12.68 per share:

• <u>December 31, 2015, *In Re: Appraisal of Dell, Inc.* (Consol. C. A. No. 9322-VCL): Respondent Dell Inc.'s 'Post-Trial Opening Brief (71 pages, 661 KB, in PDF format).</u>

In an example of what Delaware Chancery judges have described as "experts' widely divergent DCF analyses," the buyer's argued valuation is notably less than the market-priced \$13.75 per share the company's founder-CEO and private equity investors were actually willing to pay for Dell, and is dramatically different from the \$28.61 per share valuation presented by lead counsel for the petitioners representing shareholders demanding appraisal. Questions and comments on how these expert analyses differ from conventional investor analyses of "intrinsic value" – which is the supposed standard for court determination in appraisal cases³ – will be welcomed.

Counsel for the petitioning appraisal claimants will now have an opportunity to submit a reply brief, after which the court will make its determination of the "fair value" to be paid (with interest accruals) to the shareholders who properly established rights to appraisal.

GL – January 6, 2016

Gary Lutin Chairman, The Shareholder Forum 575 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 Tel: 212-605-0335

Email: gl@shareholderforum.com

_

¹ See article and reference notes: <u>January 30, 2015 Reuters</u>: "<u>Hedge funds lose court bid over Ancestry.com deal price</u>"; also see "<u>Valuation Analysis</u>" section of Forum reference website for links to recent decisions reflecting Delaware Chancery Court views of "seemingly motivated analyses by financial advisors" and "widely divergent, litigation-driven expert valuations" as alternatives to actual investor analyses of intrinsic value.

² See the previously reported <u>November 18, 2015, *In Re: Appraisal of Dell, Inc.* (Consol. C. A. No. 9322-VCL): Petitioners' Post-Trial Opening Brief (103 pages, 763 KB, in PDF format).</u>

³ See <u>2009</u>, <u>Lawrence A. Hamermesh of Widener University and Michael Wachter of University of Pennsylvania:</u> "Rationalizing Appraisal Standards in Compulsory Buyouts" (49 pages, 316 KB, in PDF format).